arXiv:1607.07953v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 27 Jul 2016

Pairing Symmetry Studied from Impurity Effects in the Undoped Superconductor T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}CuO_4$

Koki Ohashi[1], Takayuki Kawamata[1]*, Tomohisa Takamatsu[1], Tadashi Adachi[2], Masatsune Kato[1], and Yoji Koike[1]

[1]*Department of Applied Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8579, Japan,*
[2]*Department of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Sophia University, Tokyo 102-8554, Japan*

We have investigated the effects of magnetic Ni and nonmagnetic Zn impurities on the superconductivity in undoped T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}CuO_4$ (T'-LECO) with the Nd_2CuO_4-type structure, using the polycrystalline bulk samples, to clarify the pairing symmetry. It has been found that both suppression rates of the superconducting transition temperature T_c by Ni and Zn impurities are nearly the same and are very similar to those in the optimally doped and overdoped regimes of hole-doped T-$La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ with the K_2NiF_4-type structure. These results strongly suggest that the superconductivity in undoped T'-LECO is of the *d*-wave symmetry and is mediated by the spin fluctuation.

*E-mail: tkawamata@teion.apph.tohoku.ac.jp

It has long been believed that the high-T_c superconductivity appears through the hole and electron doping into the antiferromagnetic mother compound Ln_2CuO_4 (*Ln*: lanthanide elements) with the K_2NiF_4-type (so-called T-type) and Nd_2CuO_4-type (so-called T'-type) structure, respectively.[1] In electron-doped T'-$Ln_{2-x}Ce_xCuO_4$, it has also been known that the reduction annealing of the as-grown samples to remove excess oxygen occupying the apical site just above Cu in the CuO_2 plane is necessary for the appearance of superconductivity at $x \gtrsim 0.14$.[2–4] Recently, in adequately reduced thin films of T'-$Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCuO_4$ (T'-NCCO), however, superconductivity has been observed in a wide range of x and even in the undoped mother compound of $x = 0$.[5] Moreover, the superconductivity in undoped T'-Ln_2CuO_4 has been confirmed to appear in adequately reduced polycrystalline bulk samples of T'-$(La,Sm)_2CuO_4$[6] and T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}CuO_4$ (T'-LECO)[7] obtained using low-temperature synthesis methods. In undoped T'-Ln_2CuO_4, it has been suggested that carriers at the Fermi level are induced by the collapse of the charge-transfer gap between the upper Hubbard band of Cu3$d_{x^2-y^2}$ and the O2p band.[8] Therefore, natures of the electronic state and superconductivity in undoped T'-Ln_2CuO_4 have attracted great interest. Although several studies of the transport, magnetic and optical properties have been carried out using thin films of superconducting (SC) undoped T'-Ln_2CuO_4,[9–15] the pairing symmetry of the superconductivity has not yet been clarified.

The study of the effects of magnetic and nonmagnetic impurities on the superconductivity is useful to clarify the pairing symmetry of the superconductivity, because in a conventional *s*-wave superconductor, the SC transition temperature T_c is suppressed by magnetic impurities more rapidly than by nonmagnetic impurities. In an unconventional superconductor with a sign-changing SC gap such as a *d*-wave superconductor, on the other hand, T_c is rapidly suppressed by nonmagnetic impurities as well as by magnetic impurities. In fact, many studies of the impurity effect on T_c have been carried out in high-T_c cuprate superconductors.[16–31] In hole-doped T-$La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ (T-LSCO), the suppression of T_c by nonmagnetic Zn is comparable or even slightly larger than the suppression by magentic Ni.[16–19,22,23,27,28] Such a tendency has also been observed in hole-doped Bi-2212[25,29] and $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$,[21,22,27] leading to the conclusion that the pairing symmetry of hole-doped high-T_c cuprate superconductors is unconventional *d*-wave mediated by spin fluctuation. In electron-doped T'-NCCO, on the other hand, the T_c suppression by Ni is much more rapid than by Zn.[18,20,24,32] In the electron-doped so-called infinite-layer compound $Sr_{0.9}La_{0.1}CuO_2$, where the reduction annealing is necessary for the appearance of superconductivity as well as in T'-Ln_2CuO_4, such a difference in the T_c suppression between Ni and Zn impurities has also been observed.[31] Thus,

hole-doped and electron-doped cuprate superconductors exhibited a definite difference in the impurity effect on T_c, suggesting that the pairing symmetry of electron-doped high-T_c cuprate superconductors might be conventional *s*-wave. However, a number of experiments such as angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy,[33,34] scanning superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID)[35] and penetration depth measurements[36] have revealed that electron-doped T'-$Ln_{2-x}Ce_xCuO_4$ with $x = 0.15$ is regarded as a *d*-wave superconductor. Therefore, the impurity effect on T_c especially in the electron-doped cuprate superconductors has not yet been understood.

In this letter, to clarify the pairing symmetry of SC undoped T'-Ln_2CuO_4, we have investigated the T_c suppression by Ni and Zn impurities using polycrystalline bulk samples of T'-LECO. Surprisingly, it has been found that the obtained rates of the T_c suppression by Ni and Zn impurities in T'-LECO are nearly the same and are very similar to those in optimally doped and overdoped T-LSCO.[16–19,22,23,27,28] That is, the behavior is quite different from those of electron-doped T'-NCCO.[18,20,24,32] These results suggest that the pairing symmetry of SC undoped T'-LECO is *d*-wave mediated by spin fluctuation.

Polycrystalline bulk samples of T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn, and vacancy; $y = 0, 0.005, 0.01, 0.015$) were prepared by the low temperature synthesis method described in detail in our former paper.[7] First, polycrystalline bulk samples of $La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ with the T-type structure were prepared by the conventional solid-state reaction method. Second, the solid-state reaction of T-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ and reductant CaH_2 was performed to obtain oxygen-reduced $La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_{3.5}$ with the $Nd_4Cu_2O_7$-type structure.[37,38] Third, T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ were obtained by heating $La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_{3.5}$ at 400 ℃ for 12 h in a flowing O_2 gas. Finally, by the reduction annealing in vacuum at a pressure of $\sim 1 \times 10^{-4}$ Pa at 675℃ for 24 h to remove excess oxygen, SC polycrystalline bulk samples of T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ were obtained. The samples of M = vacancy were prepared to examine whether both Ni and Zn were well substituted for Cu in T'-LECO or not. In case neither Ni nor Zn were substituted for Cu in T'-LECO, the T_c suppression by M = Ni and Zn would be nearly the same as that by M = vacancy. All the products were characterized by the powder x-ray diffraction using CuK_α radiation at room temperature. The analysis of the elemental distribution in the samples was performed by the scanning-electron-microscope-energy-dispersive-x-ray (SEM-EDX) spectroscopy. Magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out using a SQUID magnetometer in a magnetic field of 10 Oe to estimate T_c.

Figure 1 shows powder x-ray diffraction patterns of the obtained samples of T'-

$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn, and vacancy). It is found that all the samples are almost of the single phase, though there is a small amount of La_2O_3 and $La(OH)_3$ in the samples of $y \geq 0.005$. Figure 2 shows the dependence on the Ni- and Zn-concentration y of the lattice constants a and c determined by the powder x-ray diffraction. The obtained values of a and c of $y = 0$ are almost the same as those in our former paper.[7] Furthermore, it is found that the values of a and c monotonically increase and decrease with increasing y, respectively. These behaviors are similar to changes of a and c by the Ni and Zn substitution in T-LSCO,[17,19] but are different from those in T'-NCCO with $x = 0$[39] and $x = 0.15$.[18] That is, the values of a and c in T'-$Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn) are independent of y.

The SEM-EDX spectroscopy of the obtained samples of T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn) with $y = 0.01$ has revealed that all the constituent elements are uniformly distributed without segregation of Ni and Zn within the spatial resolution of 1 μm^2.

Figure 3 shows the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility χ measured on warming after zero-field cooling for T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn and vacancy). First, we discuss the behavior of M = vacancy, to confirm that both Ni and Zn are indeed substituted for Cu in T'-LECO. It is found that both T_c and the SC volume fraction are approximately independent of y for M = vacancy but clearly decrease with increasing y for M = Ni and Zn. This indicates that both Ni and Zn are successfully substituted for Cu in T'-LECO. Therefore, both decreases in T_c and the SC volume fraction are due to the substitution of Ni and Zn for Cu. The decrease in the SC volume fraction by impurities has been observed in various hole-doped and electron-doped cuprate superconductors,[16,18,31] and explained in terms of the so-called Swiss cheese model due to the local destruction of superconductivity around impurities.[40] Figure 4(a) shows the y dependence of T_c, defined as the intersecting point between the extrapolated line of the steepest of the Meissner diamagnetism and zero susceptibility, for T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn). Figures 4(b)–4(e) show those of T'-$Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ with $x = 0.15$,[18] T-$La_{2-x}Sr_xCu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ with $x = 0.10$,[23,30] 0.15,[18] 0.20,[23,30] respectively. It is found that both suppression rates of T_c in T'-LECO by the Ni and Zn substitution are nearly the same. Surprisingly, this behavior is different from that of electron-doped T'-NCCO with $x = 0.15$ in spite of the same T'-type structure,[18,20,24,32] and is very similar to that of hole-doped T-LSCO with the different T-type structure.[16–19,22,23,27,28] Accordingly, this result suggests that the mechanism of the T_c suppression by the Ni and Zn substitution in T'-LECO is similar to that in T-LSCO.

In various high-T_c cuprate superconductors, although the impurity effect on T_c has been investigated,[30] the mechanism of the T_c suppression has not yet been understood systemati-

cally. In this situation, it is a plausible understanding that the T_c suppression by impurities is due to the effect of carrier localization in the underdoped regime of hole-doped superconductors, while it is due to the pair-breaking effect based on the Abrikosov-Gorkov theory[41] in the overdoped regime.[25] In hole-doped cuprate superconductors, in fact, the decrease in T_c/T_{c0}, where T_{c0} is T_c at $y = 0$, with increasing impurity-concentration in the optimally doped and overdoped regimes is smaller than that in the underdoped regime and is independent of the carrier concentration. To confirm this, the values of T_c/T_{c0} were plotted as shown in Fig. 4(f). It is found that the values of T_c/T_{c0} of T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn) follow an universal line in the optimally doped and overdoped T-$La_{2-x}Sr_xCu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn). In undoped T'-LECO, therefore, it follows that the T_c suppression by the Ni and Zn substitution is due to the pair-breaking effect and that the SC pairing symmetry is d-wave mediated by spin fluctuation as in the case of hole-doped T-LSCO. In fact, a very recent μSR study has revealed that strong spin fluctuation coexists with the superconductivity in T'-LECO.[42] These results may imply that the electronic state in undoped T'-LECO is very similar to that in the optimally doped and overdoped regimes of hole-doped T-LSCO, which may be consistent with the result that T_c decreases by the hole doping due to the Ca- or Sr-substitution for La in T'-LECO.[7,43]

Considering Fig. 4(f), it is mysterious that only the T_c suppression by the Ni substitution in electron-doped T'-NCCO with $x = 0.15$ is much more rapid than that in undoped T'-LECO, because electron-doped T'-$Ln_{2-x}Ce_xCuO_4$ with $x = 0.15$ is regarded as a d-wave superconductor from a number of experiments as mentioned above.[33–36] A very recent NMR study of SC T'-$Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}Ce_xCuO_4$ with $x = 0.15$ has also revealed the existence of strong spin fluctuation, suggesting the d-wave paring.[44] Furthermore, penetration depth measurements of the undoped T'-Pr_2CuO_4 thin film have also supported the d-wave paring.[13] On the other hand, the strong T_c suppression by magnetic impurities has also been observed in Fe- and Co-substituted T'-$Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Fe, Co) with $x = 0.15$ as well as in Ni-substituted T'-$Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ with $x = 0.15$, which has been supposed to be an indication of s-wave pairing.[18,24,32] A possible explanation for these contradictory results is that the strong T_c suppression by the Fe, Co and Ni substitution is due to the insufficient removal of excess oxygen occupying the apical site just above Fe, Co and Ni substituted for Cu, because both Fe, Co and Ni tend to prefer the 6-fold octahedral coordination to the 4-fold square-planar one. Such a situation may take place also in Ni-substituted T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}Ni_yO_4$. However, T'-LECO has larger space around the apical site than T'-NCCO owing to larger La^{3+} than Nd^{3+} and moreover contains no Ce^{4+} ions that more

tightly binds excess oxygen than trivalent Ln^{3+}. This may facilitate the removal of excess oxygen atoms in T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}Ni_yO_4$, which leads to a weaker T_c suppression by the Ni substitution in T'-LECO than in T'-$Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCu_{1-y}Ni_yO_4$ with $x = 0.15$. This speculation may be supported by the above-mentioned result that the values of a and c change with y in T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}Ni_yO_4$ while they are independent of y in T'-$Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCu_{1-y}Ni_yO_4$ with $x = 0.15$.[18] To be conclusive from the impurity effect on T_c, further measurements using the same system of T'-$Ln_{2-x}Ce_xCu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn) with various Ln and with various x values from $x = 0$ to 0.15 is necessary.

In summary, we have investigated the T_c suppression by the substitution of magnetic Ni and nonmagnetic Zn in SC undoped T'-LECO polycrystalline bulk samples to clarify the SC paring symmetry in undoped T'-LECO. From the magnetic susceptibility measurements, it has been found that both the Ni and Zn substitution suppress T_c in the same degree. Surprisingly, this behavior is very similar to those in the optimally doped and overdoped regimes of hole-doped T-LSCO and is quite different from those in electron-doped T'-NCCO. The obtained y dependences of T_c/T_{c0} in T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn) are comparable to those in optimally doped and overdoped T-$La_{2-x}Sr_xCu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn). These results strongly suggest that the SC pairing symmetry in undoped T'-LECO is d-wave, meaning that the pairing is medicated by the spin fluctuation.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23108004.

References

1) For reviews, C. Chu, L. Deng, and B. Lv, Physica C **514**, 290 (2015); P. Fournier, Physica C **514**, 314 (2015).
2) Y. Tokura, H. Takagi, and S. Uchida, Nature **337**, 345 (1989).
3) P. G. Radaelli, J. D. Jorgensen, A. J. Schultz, J. L. Peng, and R. L. Greene, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 15322 (1994).
4) A. J. Schultz, J. D. Jorgensen, J. L. Peng, and R. L. Greene, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 5157 (1996).
5) O. Matsumoto, A. Utsuki, A. Tsukada, H. Yamamoto, T. Manabe, and M. Naito, Physica C **469**, 924 (2009).
6) S. Asai, S. Ueda, and M. Naito, Physica C **471**, 682 (2011).
7) T. Takamatsu, M. Kato, T. Noji, and Y. Koike, Appl. Phys. Express **5**, 073101 (2012).
8) T. Adachi, Y. Mori, A. Takahashi, M. Kato, T. Nishizaki, T. Sasaki, N. Kobayashi, and Y. Koike, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **82**, 063713 (2013).
9) Y. Krockenberger, H. Yamamoto, M. Mitsuhashi, and M. Naito, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **51**, 010106 (2011).
10) Y. Krockenberger, H. Yamamoto, A. Tsukada, M. Mitsuhashi, and M. Naito, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 184502 (2012).
11) Y. Krockenberger, H. Irie, O. Matsumoto, K. Yamagami, M. Mitsuhashi, A. Tsukada, M. Naito, and H. Yamamoto, Sci. Rep. **3**, 2235 (2013).
12) K. M. Kojima, Y. Krockenberger, I. Yamauchi, M. Miyazaki, M. Hiraishi, A. Koda, R. Kadono, R. Kumai, H. Yamamoto, A. Ikeda, and M. Naito, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 180508(R) (2014).
13) G. Chanda, R. P. S. M. Lobo, E. Schachinger, J. Wosnitza, M. Naito, and A. V. Pronin, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 024503 (2014).
14) E. Schachinger, G. Chanda, R. P. S. M. Lobo, M. Naito, and A. V. Pronin, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **27**, 045702 (2015).
15) For a review, M. Naito, Y. Krockenberger, A. Ikeda, and H. Yamamoto, Physica C **523**, 28 (2016).
16) W. Kang, H. J. Schulz, D. Jérome, S. S. P. Parkin, J. M. Bassat, and P. Odier, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 5132 (1988).
17) H. Fujishita and M. Sato, Solid State Commun. **72**, 529 (1989).

18) J. M. Tarascon, E. Wang, S. Kivelson, B. G. Bagley, G. W. Hull, and R. Ramesh, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 218 (1990).

19) G. Xiao, M. Z. Cieplak, J. Q. Xiao, and C. L. Chien, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 8752 (1990).

20) I. Felner, D. Hechel, and U. Yaron, Physica C **165**, 247 (1990).

21) C. Y. Yang, A. R. Moodenbaugh, Y. L. Wang, Y. Xu, S. M. Heald, D. O. Welch, M. Suenaga, D. A. Fischer, and J. E. Penner-Hahn, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 2231 (1990).

22) H. Harashina, T. Nishikawa, T. Kiyokura, S. Shamoto, M. Sato, and K. Kakurai, Physica C **212**, 142 (1993).

23) K. Sreedhar, P. Metcalf, and J. Honig, Physica C: Superconductivity **227**, 160 (1994).

24) B. Jayaram, H. Chen, and J. Callaway, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 3742 (1995).

25) T. Kluge, Y. Koike, A. Fujiwara, M. Kato, T. Noji, and Y. Saito, Phys. Rev. B **52**, R727 (1995).

26) M. Brinkmann, H. Bach, and K. Westerholt, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 6680 (1996).

27) J. L. Tallon, C. Bernhard, G. V. M. Williams, and J. W. Loram, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 5294 (1997).

28) T. Nakano, N. Momono, T. Nagata, M. Oda, and M. Ido, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 5831 (1998).

29) M. Akoshima, T. Noji, Y. Ono, and Y. Koike, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 7491 (1998).

30) S. Uchida, Physica C **357-360**, 25 (2001).

31) C. U. Jung, J. Y. Kim, M.-S. Park, M.-S. Kim, H.-J. Kim, S. Y. Lee, and S.-I. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 172501 (2002).

32) J. Sugiyama, S. Tokuono, S. Koriyama, H. Yamauchi, and S. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 10489 (1991).

33) T. Sato, T. Kamiyama, T. Takahashi, K. Kurahashi, and K. Yamada, Science **291**, 1517 (2001).

34) H. Matsui, K. Terashima, T. Sato, T. Takahashi, M. Fujita, and K. Yamada, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 017003 (2005).

35) C. C. Tsuei and J. R. Kirtley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 182 (2000).

36) J. D. Kokales, P. Fournier, L. V. Mercaldo, V. V. Talanov, R. L. Greene, and S. M. Anlage, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3696 (2000).

37) D. Pederzolli and J. Attfield, J. Solid State Chem. **136**, 137 (1998).

38) M. I. Houchati, M. Ceretti, C. Ritter, and W. Paulus, Chem. Mater. **24**, 3811 (2012).

39) K. Kurosaki, H. Kobayashi, and S. Yamanaka, J. Alloys Compd. **350**, 340 (2003).

40) B. Nachumi, A. Keren, K. Kojima, M. Larkin, G. M. Luke, J. Merrin, O. Tchernyshöv, Y. J. Uemura, N. Ichikawa, M. Goto, and S. Uchida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 5421 (1996).

41) A. A. Abrikosov and L. P. Gor'kov, Sov. Phys. JETP **12**, 1243 (1961).

42) T. Adachi, A. Takahashi, K. M. Suzuki, M. A. Baqiya, T. Konno, T. Takamatsu, M. Kato, I. Watanabe, A. Koda, M. Miyazaki, R. Kadono, and Y. Koike, arXiv: .

43) T. Takamatsu, M. Kato, T. Noji, and Y. Koike, Phys. Procedia **58**, 46 (2014).

44) M. Yamamoto, Y. Kohori, H. Fukazawa, A. Takahashi, T. Ohgi, T. Adachi, and Y. Koike, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **85**, 024708 (2016).



Fig. 1. Powder x-ray diffraction patterns using CuK_{α} radiation for T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ with (a) M = Ni, (b) M = Zn, and (c) M = vacancy.



Fig. 2. Impurity-concentration y dependence of the lattice constants (a) a and (b) c for T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn). Solid and dashed lines are guides to the eyes.



Fig. 3. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility χ of T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ with (a) M = Ni, (b) M = Zn, and (c) M = vacancy measured in a magnetic field of 10 Oe on warming after zero-field cooling.



Fig. 4. (Color online) Impurity-concentration y dependence of T_c of (a) T'-$La_{1.8}Eu_{0.2}Cu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn), (b) T'-$Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn),[18] and T-$La_{2-x}Sr_xCu_{1-y}M_yO_4$ (M = Ni, Zn) with (c) x = 0.10,[23,30] (d) x = 0.15,[18] (e) x = 0.20.[23,30] (f) Impurity-concentration y dependence of T_c/T_{c0} of these data, where T_{c0} is T_c at y = 0. Filled and open symbols indicate data of M = Ni and Zn, respectively. Solid and dashed lines are guides to the eyes.